



Vulnerable children and families:

Some findings from the
New Zealand General Social Survey



Crown copyright ©

This work is licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 New Zealand](#) licence. You are free to copy, distribute, and adapt the work, as long as you attribute the work to Statistics NZ and abide by the other licence terms. Please note you may not use any departmental or governmental emblem, logo, or coat of arms in any way that infringes any provision of the [Flags, Emblems, and Names Protection Act 1981](#). Use the wording 'Statistics New Zealand' in your attribution, not the Statistics NZ logo.

Liability

While all care and diligence has been used in processing, analysing, and extracting data and information in this publication, Statistics New Zealand gives no warranty it is error free and will not be liable for any loss or damage suffered by the use directly, or indirectly, of the information in this publication.

Citation

Statistics New Zealand (2012). *Vulnerable children and families: Some findings from the New Zealand General Social Survey*. Available from www.stats.govt.nz.

ISBN 978-0-478-37794-1 (online)

Published in October 2012 by

Statistics New Zealand
Tatauranga Aotearoa
Wellington, New Zealand

Contact

Statistics New Zealand Information Centre: info@stats.govt.nz
Phone toll-free 0508 525 525
Phone international +64 4 931 4610
www.stats.govt.nz



Contents

List of tables and figures	4
1 Purpose and summary	5
Purpose.....	5
Summary of key points	5
2 Policy context	6
3 Using the General Social Survey to identify vulnerable children	7
4 Characteristics of households at risk	8
One in four children live in medium- to high-risk households	8
Three in five high-risk households received benefit income in the last 12 months.....	8
Half of households in the high-risk group contain sole parents	10
Households with six or more people and two-person households at greater risk	10
Māori over-represented in high-risk households	11
One in five mothers in the high- and medium-risk groups had their children young.....	12
References	14
Appendix 1 – Additional tables	15
Appendix 2 – Methodological notes	18



List of tables and figures

List of tables

3	Using the General Social Survey to identify vulnerable children	7
1	Prevalence of risk factors in New Zealand households with children.....	7
4	Characteristics of households at risk	8
2	Number and proportion of children by risk groups.....	8
	Appendix 1 – Additional tables	15
3	Proportion of households in each risk group by income and employment status .	15
4	Proportion of households in each risk group by demographic factors.....	16
5	Family type by risk group, for low-income households.....	17

List of figures

4	Characteristics of households at risk	8
1	Households that received benefit income in the last 12 months	9
2	Households that received Domestic Purposes Benefit in the last 12 months	9
3	Households by family type and risk group	10
4	Households by household size and risk group	11
5	Respondents that selected Māori ethnicity	12
6	Households with young mothers	13



1 Purpose and summary

Purpose

Vulnerable children and families uses data from the [New Zealand General Social Survey: 2010](#) to look at households with vulnerable children. Eleven economic, housing, health, and social indicators related to poor child outcomes were used to identify households with at-risk children. This report provides a national picture of the prevalence and characteristics of these households in New Zealand and provides context for current initiatives to support vulnerable children.

Summary of key points

The key points in this report are:

- 1 in 4 children under the age of 18 live in households defined in this report as medium or high risk, or those with three or more risk factors
- 6 percent of children live in high-risk households with five or more risk factors
- households in the high-risk group include those receiving benefit income, sole-parent households, large households, households with Māori respondents, and households where the mother had given birth to her child before the age of 21.



2 Policy context

The Government has a policy focus on supporting vulnerable children and the Expert Advisory Group on Solutions to Child Poverty has expressed concern about poor child outcomes. In [The Green Paper for Vulnerable Children](#), the Ministry of Social Development (2011) noted that any single risk factor on its own generally only raises the risk of poor child outcomes by a modest amount, but an accumulation of risks significantly heightens the risk of poor outcomes.

The [General Social Survey](#) offers a range of economic, health, housing, neighbourhood, and social connectedness measures providing a unique cross-dimensional view of vulnerability. This report looks at multiple risks and can be used to inform discussions about vulnerable children.

3 Using the General Social Survey to identify vulnerable children

The General Social Survey (GSS) collects social and economic data on households and individuals from 8,000 New Zealanders aged 15 years and over. This report looks at the 3,086 households with children under 18 in the 2010 GSS. All figures in this report were weighted using household weights to represent the New Zealand population.

The Ministry of Social Development and Statistics NZ selected 11 risk factors contained in the GSS that are believed to adversely affect children's development or well-being. The selected risk factors are related to health, housing, income adequacy, neighbourhood, social connections, crime, and discrimination. The measures are not exhaustive and information on other possible risk factors, such as parental drug or alcohol abuse, is not covered by the survey. As some risk factors are linked to the individual respondent, not the household as a whole, this report will understate the number of households and children at risk.

The presence of the selected risk factors among households with children ranges from 21 percent containing a respondent smoker, to 8 percent having poor physical health or limited access to facilities, as shown in table 1.

Table 1

Prevalence of risk factors in New Zealand households with children
April 2010–March 2011

Risk factor	Percent
Current cigarette smoker	21
Victim of crime in last 12 months	20
Living in a high deprivation area	20
Feeling isolated some, most, or all of the time	17
Poor mental health	15
Victim of discrimination in last 12 months	12
Low economic standard of living, based on ELSI	11
More than one housing problem	10
Living in an overcrowded house	9
Limited access to facilities	8
Poor physical health	8

Note: ELSI = economic living standard index

Source: Statistics New Zealand

4 Characteristics of households at risk

This chapter looks at the characteristics of households and the number of children at risk. For this report, we defined households as high risk where they have five or more risk factors present, medium risk where households have three to four risk factors, and low risk where one to two factors exist.

One in four children live in medium- to high-risk households

One in four New Zealand children (268,000) live in medium- to high-risk households. Roughly 67,000 children (6 percent) live in households defined here as high risk (those with five or more of the 11 risk factors). A further 19 percent of children (201,000) live in medium-risk households (those with three or four risk factors). Table 2 shows the number and proportion of children by risk group.

Table 2

Number and proportion of children by risk group

April 2010–March 2011

	Number of risk factors	Number of children (aged under 18 years)	Proportion of children (%)
No risk	0	328,000	30
Low risk	1–2	482,000	45
Medium risk	3–4	201,000	19
High risk	5+	67,000	6


Source: Statistics New Zealand

Three in five high-risk households received benefit income in the last 12 months

Three out of five households in the high-risk group received income from a benefit in the last 12 months. For this report, benefit income includes Unemployment, Domestic Purposes, Invalid's, and Sickness benefits. More than two out of five high-risk households received income from the Domestic Purposes Benefit.

Figure 1 shows the proportion of households that received benefit income in the last 12 months, by risk group. Figure 2 shows the proportion of households that received domestic purposes benefit in the last 12 months, by risk group.

Figure 1




1. Households with children under 18 years.

2. Households are classified into one of four risk groups based on 11 indicators related to poor child outcomes.

Source: Statistics New Zealand

Figure 2



1. Households with children under 18 years.


2. Households are classified into one of four risk groups based on 11 indicators related to poor child outcomes.

Source: Statistics New Zealand

Half of households in the high-risk group contain sole parents

Of households in the high-risk group, about half are sole-parent households. Two-parent-only households dominate the no-risk and low-risk groups, making up 84 percent and 71 percent of each group, respectively. Figure 3 shows the proportion of family types in each risk group.

Figure 3



1. Households with children under 18 years.

2. Households are classified into one of four risk groups based on 11 indicators related to poor child outcomes.


Source: Statistics New Zealand

Sole parents are more likely to have low income compared with two-parent families. This is one reason sole parents are more at risk. However, family structure also plays a role. For households in the bottom two income quintiles, 58 percent of high-risk and just 25 percent of no-risk households are sole-parent households. This compares with two-parent-only households where the relationship is reversed, with 69 percent no-risk and 34 percent high-risk households.

Households with six or more people and two-person households at greater risk

Households with six or more people make up 19 percent of the high-risk group compared with 7 percent of the no-risk group. Similarly, households with two people (sole-parent households with one child) comprise 14 percent of the high-risk group and just 3 percent of the no-risk group. Figure 4 shows the proportion of households by household size in each risk group.

Figure 4




1. Households with children under 18 years.
2. Households are classified into one of four risk groups based on 11 indicators related to poor child outcomes.

Source: Statistics New Zealand

Māori over-represented in high-risk households

Nearly 43 percent of households in the high-risk group had a Māori respondent, compared with 8 percent in the no-risk group. Figure 5 shows the proportion of respondents selecting Māori ethnicity in each risk group. The graph shows the ethnicity of the respondent, not the ethnicity of the entire household.

Figure 5



1. Respondents living in households with children under 18 years.


2. Households are classified into one of four risk groups based on 11 indicators related to poor child outcomes.

Source: Statistics New Zealand

One in five mothers in the high- and medium-risk groups had their children young

Figure 6 shows 1 in 5 high-risk and medium-risk households contain a young mother, compared with 1 in 20 in the no-risk group. A young mother refers to a mother who was 20 years or under when she gave birth to a child living in the household. It does not include mothers whose children do not live with her, nor does it distinguish between step and birth mothers.

Figure 6



1. Households with children under 18.
2. Young mothers refers to mothers who were 20 years or under when they gave birth to a child living in the household. It does not count mothers whose children do not live with them, nor does it distinguish between step and birth mothers.
3. Households are classified into one of four risk groups based on 11 indicators related to poor child outcomes.

Source: Statistics New Zealand



References

Ministry of Social Development (2011). [The Green Paper for Vulnerable Children: Every child thrives, belongs, achieves](http://www.msd.govt.nz). Available from www.msd.govt.nz.

Appendix 1 – Additional tables

Table 3

Proportion of households in each risk group by income and employment status
April 2010–March 2011

	No risk	Low risk	Medium risk	High risk
	Percent			
Household benefit income in last 12 months ⁽¹⁾	5.0*	16.3	38.0	60.7
Household benefit income in last 12 months				
Domestic Purposes	2.2*	9.6	23.9	42.4
Unemployment	1.1**	5.6*	9.8*	9.1**
Sickness or Invalid	2.4**	3.4*	9.4*	13.4*
Lowest household income quintile ⁽²⁾	8.9	17.8	33.2	59.3
Employment status of respondent ⁽³⁾				
Employed	78.4	70.7	59.7	33.8
Not in labour force	19.7	24.7	29.5	51.4
Unemployed	1.9**	4.6*	9.9*	13.9*

1. Household benefit income from the Domestic Purposes (DPB), Unemployment (UB), Sickness (SB), and Invalid (IB) benefits in the last 12 months. Note the UB, DPB, SB, and IB percentages will not sum to the overall household benefit income as households may receive more than one benefit type in the last 12 months.

2. Household income has been equivalised by household size (that is, divided by the square root of the number of people in the household). Quintiles have been calculated for households with children, not the total population. The bottom equivalised quintile is \$21,650.

3. Respondent employment status. As one respondent aged 15 years or over is chosen per household, this may not be the parent.

Symbols: * relative sampling error 30–49.9 percent. ** relative sampling error 50 percent or more.

Source: Statistics New Zealand

Table 4

Proportion of households in each risk group by demographic factors
 April 2010–March 2011

	No risk	Low risk	Medium risk	High risk
	Percent			
Family type ⁽¹⁾				
Sole parent only	7.3	13.1	24.6	39.6
Sole parent + others	2.1**	7.7	12.2	11.8**
Two parent only	84.3	71.4	54.5	35.2
Other	6.4	7.8	8.6*	13.4*
Household size ⁽²⁾				
Two	2.5*	5.0	8.3	13.7*
Three	28.6	31.8	26.0	27.6
Four	43.0	37.2	28.7	22.2*
Five	18.9	17.5	16.7	17.4*
Six+	6.7	8.5	20.4	19.1*
Number of children				
One	39.8	43.7	37.3	35.9
Two	41.5	39.2	32.8	34.8
Three	15.0	13.4	16.4	14.4*
Four+	3.6*	3.7	13.4	14.8*
Early motherhood ⁽³⁾	4.5*	11.1	19.8	20.4*
Respondents with Māori ethnicity ⁽⁴⁾	7.9	16.8	32.9	42.6
Migrant status of respondent				
Long-term migrant	19.1	20.8	20.2	15.5*
New Zealand born	73.3	71.3	73.1	78.1
Short-term migrant	7.6	7.9	6.7*	6.4**
Highest qualification of respondent				
Degree	28.6	20.3	11.4	8.9**
Levels 4–6 certificate and diplomas ⁽⁵⁾	23.9	23.5	21.2	15.5*
School qualification	34.5	36.1	32.9	36.0
No qualification	9.6	15.7	29.8	30.3
Not elsewhere included	3.4*	4.4	4.7*	9.4**

1. Households are broken into four types of households: a) 'sole parents only' living alone with their children, b) 'sole parents + others' living with other adults – an example would be a sole parent living with his/her parents, c) 'two-parent only', and d) other household types, for example, a two-parent family living with grandparents. In the body of the report, the two sole-parent groups have been combined.

2. There were two 17-year-olds living alone in the 2010 sample; these one-person households have been removed from the table.

3. Early motherhood denotes mothers who were 20 years or younger when they gave birth to their children. This is calculated by looking at the age of the mother and her children in the household. It does not count children who do not live with their mothers, nor does it distinguish between step and birth mothers.

4. Ethnicity is based on the ethnicity of the respondent – one per household. Respondents were counted if they chose Māori as one of their ethnic groups.

5. Levels 4 to 6 certificates and diplomas include trade and vocational training.

Symbols: * relative sampling error 30–49.9 percent. ** relative sampling error 50 percent or more.

Source: Statistics New Zealand

Table 5**Family type by risk group, for low-income households⁽¹⁾**

April 2010–March 2011

Family type ⁽²⁾	No risk	Low risk	Medium risk	High risk
	Percent			
Sole parent only	23.9	28.3	38.9	46.6
Sole parent + others	1.5**	7.1	10.3*	11.6**
Two parent only	69.2	59.5	46.5	34.1
Other	5.5**	5.2*	4.3**	7.7**

1. Bottom two quintiles equivalised by household size.

2. Households are broken into four types of households: a) 'sole parents only' living alone with their children, b) 'sole parents + others' living with other adults – an example would be a sole parent living with his/her parents, c) 'two-parent only', and (d) other household types, for example, a two-parent family living with grandparents. In the body of the report, the two sole-parent groups have been combined.

Symbols: * relative sampling error 30–49.9 percent. ** relative sampling error 50 percent or more.

Source: Statistics New Zealand



Appendix 2 – Methodological notes

This chapter describes how we derived the 11 risk factors discussed in this report. This is followed by methodological notes outlining any limitations of the data.

The 11 risk factors and how we derived them are listed below:

1. low economic living standard index (ELSI) – less than or equal to 12
2. living in high deprivation area – New Zealand deprivation index deciles 9 and 10
3. overcrowding based on the Canadian National Occupancy Standard – household lacks one or more bedrooms
4. more than one housing problem – too damp, too expensive, or too cold or difficult to heat
5. limited access to facilities, such as shops, schools, post shops, libraries, and medical services
6. current cigarette smoker
7. poor mental health scores 0–39; severe disability = 0–29; and moderate disability = 30–39
8. poor physical health scores 0–39
9. isolation – feeling isolated some, most, or all of the time,
10. victim of crime in last 12 months
11. victim of discrimination in last 12 months.

This report identifies risk factors in New Zealand households with children, and then categorises the households as high, medium, low, or no risk based on the number of risk factors associated with the household.

The analysis gives equal weight to each of the 11 risk factors. It does not consider certain risk factors or combination of factors that may have a greater impact on vulnerability.

This analysis combines household data (low economic living standard index, housing problems, and neighbourhoods defined by the New Zealand deprivation index as areas of high deprivation) with individual measures (poor physical health, poor mental health, feelings of isolation, smoking, victim of crime and discrimination).

The use of individual characteristics for some risk variables will undercount the existence of these risk factors because they cannot account for other individuals in the household with these characteristics. For example, the respondent's mental health will under-represent the number of households directly affected by poor mental health as this will be flagged in cases where the respondent is affected, but not where other household members are affected. In addition, the impact of poor mental health on a household is likely to differ depending on the household role of the person with poor mental health. The net effect of categorising households based on the respondent's characteristics will be to understate the number of children who are at risk and to overstate those who are not at risk.

An output with a relative sampling error of 30 percent to 49.9 percent should be viewed with caution (flagged in tables by an asterisk *), and an error of 50 percent or more should be considered unreliable (flagged by **).

See [New Zealand General Social Survey](#) for more details about the survey.